

Math 491, Problem Set #15
Solutions

- (a) Let A_n be the average number of times that a $2n$ -step Dyck path returns to the origin (counting $(2n, 0)$ as a return but not $(0, 0)$), so that $A_0 = 0$, $A_1 = 1$, $A_2 = 3/2$, and $A_3 = 9/5$. Use Maple to compute A_n for various small values of n (1 through 6, at least), and conjecture a general formula.

Let us create a two-variable generating function in which a $2n$ -step Dyck path that returns to the horizontal axis exactly k times is given weight $x^n y^k$. This generating function is $1 + yP(x) + y^2[P(x)]^2 + y^3[P(x)]^3 + \dots = 1/(1 - yP(x))$, where $P(x)$ is the generating function for primitive Dyck paths. Since a primitive Dyck path is the same thing as an up-edge followed by an arbitrary Dyck path followed by a down-edge, we have $P(x) = xD(x)$ where $D(x)$ is the generating function for all Dyck paths. Since $D(x) = (1 - \sqrt{1 - 4x})/2x$, $P(x) = (1 - \sqrt{1 - 4x})/2$. So the generating function we want is

$$R(x, y) = \frac{1}{1 - y \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - 4x}}{2}}.$$

If we differentiate this with respect to y , and then put $y = 1$, we'll obtain a generating function in which the coefficient of x^n is the sum, over all Dyck paths of length n , of the number of returns to the horizontal axis. We have

$$R'_2(x, 1) = 2 \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - 4x}}{(1 + \sqrt{1 - 4x})^2}$$

(where $R'_2(x, 1)$ means the derivative of $R(x, y)$ with respect to its second variable, evaluated at $y = 1$). Using Maple, we write

```
Q := 1/(1-y*(1-sqrt(1-4*x))/2);
R := subs(y=1,Q);
S := subs(y=1,diff(Q,y));
Rt := taylor(R,x,10);
St := taylor(S,x,10);
seq(coeff(St,x,n)/coeff(Rt,x,n),n=0..9);
```

and obtain the sequence of fractions 0, 1, 3/2, 9/5, 2, 15/7, 9/4, 7/3, 12/5, 27/11. Multiplying by 2,3,4,... respectively, we get 0, 3, 6, 9, 12, 15, 18, 21, 24, 27, which we recognize. So we conjecture that the coefficient of x^n in \mathbf{St} , divided by the coefficient of x^n in \mathbf{Rt} , equals $3n/(n+2)$. That is, the average number of returns to the horizontal axis is exactly $3n/(n+2)$.

(b) *Give an algebraic proof of your conjecture using generating functions.*

Now let's see how Maple can help us prove the theorem. We have two generating functions, $A(x)$ and $B(x)$, where

$$A(x) = \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - 4x}}{2x}$$

and

$$B(x) = 2 \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - 4x}}{(1 + \sqrt{1 - 4x})^2}.$$

We want to show that their respective coefficients satisfy $b(n) = \frac{3n}{n+2}a(n)$. That is, we want to prove

$$(n+2)b(n) = (3n)a(n).$$

Let's prove this by turning both sides into generating functions. If we differentiate $B(x) = \sum_{n \geq 0} b(n)x^n$ we get $\sum_{n \geq 0} nb(n)x^{n-1}$, so $\sum_{n \geq 0} (n+2)b(n)x^n = xB'(x) + 2B(x)$. Likewise $\sum_{n \geq 0} (3n)a(n)x^n = 3xA'(x)$. So we'll be done if we can demonstrate that $(x+2)B(x) = 3xA(x)$. How can Maple help us prove this? One thing we definitely shouldn't do is define $A(x)$ and $B(x)$ as functions of x ! Maple knows how to simply algebraic expressions, not programs or functions. Even if we define things as expressions, we can still go astray. For instance, it might seem sensible to proceed like this:

```
A := (1-sqrt(1-4*x))/(2*x);
B := 2*(1-sqrt(1-4*x))/(1+sqrt(1-4*x))^2;
evalb(x*diff(B,x)+2*B=3*x*diff(A,x));
```

Maple returns the answer **false**, because it doesn't see a reason why the two should be equal. We haven't asked Maple to work hard at

simplifying either side, so why should it? (I still haven't figured out which simplifications Maple does without one's asking; my version of Maple says $x+y=y+x$ is true but $(x-y)*(x+y)=x*x-y*y$ is "false".)

A better question to ask Maple (after defining A and B) is

```
evalb(simplify(x*diff(B,x)+2*B)=simplify(3*x*diff(A,x)))
```

But Maple still says this is false (because Maple has no standard form for algebraic functions of x , and so it simplifies the two sides of the equation in two different ways).

The best way to get Maple to go all-out and try to prove that two things are equal is to ask it to simplify the difference (to see if it gets 0) or to simplify the quotient (to see if it gets 1). But even that sometimes fails! Maple is a partner in doing algebra, not an infallible genii. In this case, `simplify((x*diff(B,x)+2*B)-(3*x*diff(A,x)))` gives 0 (which proves the theorem), `simplify((x*diff(B,x)+2*B)/(3*x*diff(A,x)))` doesn't give 1 (which fails to prove the theorem. (Note:

```
expand(1/(simplify((x*diff(B,x)+2*B)/(3*x*diff(A,x)))));
```

does give 1, so that's another way to prove the theorem.)

- (c) *Give a bijective proof of your conjecture, using the relationship between Dyck paths and triangulations of polygons.*

Recall that every Dyck path of length $2n$ can be put into correspondence with a unique triangulation of the $n + 2$ -gon. The number of returns of the Dyck path to the line $y = 0$ is the same as the number of triangles incident with the left endpoint of the base-edge. Thus the average number of returns to the line $y = 0$ (as we vary over all Dyck paths of length $2n$) is the same as the average number of such triangles (as we vary over all triangulations of the $(n + 2)$ -gon). But this quantity (call it a) has to be the same at every vertex. If we multiply a by $n + 2$ (the number of vertices), we should get the average total number of triangles in the triangulation, multiplied by 3 (since each vertex is incident with exactly 3 triangles). But the total number of triangles in each triangulation of the $(n + 2)$ -gon is exactly n . So we have $a(n + 2) = 3n$, giving us $a = 3n/(n + 2)$.