## Math 192r, Problem Set #5: Solutions

1. There is a unique polynomial of degree d such that  $f(k) = 2^k$  for k = 0, 1, ..., d. What is f(d+1)? What is f(-1)?

Suppose g(k) is a polynomial of degree  $m \ge 1$ , so that its sequence of *m*th differences is constant. If we define  $G(k) = g(k) + g(k-1) + \dots + g(1)$  for all  $k \ge 1$ , then the first differences of *G* are the "zeroeth" differences of *g*, the second differences of *G* are the first differences of *g*, and so on, so that the sequence of m + 1st difference of *G* is constant, implying that G(k) is given by a polynomial of degree m + 1 in *k*. This last assertion is true for  $g(k-1) + g(k-2) + \dots + g(0) + 1$  as well, since it differs from G(k) by the substitution of k - 1 for *k* and the addition of the constant 1.

In particular, we see that if f is a polynomial of degree d-1 with  $f(k) = 2^k$  for  $0 \le k \le d-1$ , then the sum  $F(k) = f(k-1) + f(k-2) + \ldots + f(0) + 1$  defines a polynomial function of degree d, and it is easy to see that if f satisfies the property that characterizes  $f_{d-1}$ , F satisfies the property that characterizes  $f_d$ . Hence we have

$$f_d(k) = f_{d-1}(k-1) + f_{d-1}(k-2) + \ldots + f_{d-1}(0) + 1$$

for all  $k \ge 0$  (not just  $0 \le k \le d$ ), with the proviso that in the case k = 0, the only term on the right hand side is the 1.

Putting k = d + 1, we have  $f_d(d + 1) = f_{d-1}(d) + f_{d-1}(d - 1) + \ldots + f_{d-1}(0) + 1 = f_{d-1}(d) + 2^{d-1} + \ldots + 1 + 1 = f^{d-1}(d) + 2^d$ . That is, the sequence  $f_0(1), f_1(2), f_2(3), \ldots$ , has the sequence 1, 2, 4, ... as its sequence of first differences, from which it follows (say by induction) that  $f_{d-1}(d) = 2^d - 1$ .

On the other hand, for each fixed d the relation  $f_d(k) - f_d(k-1) = f_{d-1}(k-1)$  holds for all k, since it holds for all positive k and since both sides of the equation are polynomials. Hence we have  $f_d(0) - f_d(-1) = f_{d-1}(-1)$ . Rewriting this as  $f_d(-1) = f_d(0) - f_{d-1}(-1)$  and using the fact that  $f_d(0) = 1$ , we have  $f_d(-1) = 1 - f_{d-1}(-1)$ , from which it follows (say by induction) that  $f_d(-1) = (-1)^d$ .

Note that you don't need to have an explicit formula for  $f_d(k)$  in order to solve this problem!

- 2. One basis for the space of polynomials of degree less than d is the monomial basis  $1, t, t^2, ..., t^{d-1}$ . Another is the shifted monomial basis  $1, (t+1), (t+1)^2, ..., (t+1)^{d-1}$ . Call these bases  $u_1, ..., u_d$  and  $v_1, ..., v_d$ respectively.
  - (a) Derive a formula for the entries of the change-of-basis matrix M expressing the  $u_i$ 's as linear combinations of the  $v_j$ 's. We seek a d-by-d matrix M that, when multiplied on the right by the column vector  $e_i$  (with a 1 in the *i*th position and a 0 everywhere else), gives a column vector  $(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_d)^T$  such that  $u_i = c_1 v_1 + c_2 v_2 + \dots + c_d v_d$ . Now  $u_i = t^{i-1} = ((t+1) - 1)^{i-1} = \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} {i-1 \choose j} (t+1)^j (-1)^{i-1-j} = \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} {i-1 \choose j} v_{j+1} (-1)^{i-1-j} = \sum_{j=1}^{i} {i-1 \choose j-1} v_j (-1)^{i-j}$ , so  $c_j = (-1)^{i-j} {i-1 \choose j-1}$  (which gets interpreted as 0 for i > i). Hence

as 0 for 
$$j > i$$
). Hence

$$M_{j,i} = \begin{cases} (-1)^{i-j} \binom{i-1}{j-1} & \text{for } 1 \le j \le i \le n, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(Note: I didn't specify whether the vectors were to be treated as row-vectors or column-vectors, or equivalently, whether the change-of-basis matrix was supposed to be applied on the right or on the left. If you adopted the row-vector approach, you would find that the answers you got for parts (a) and (b) are reversed, relative to mine.)

(b) Derive a formula for the entries of the change-of-basis matrix Nexpressing the  $v_i$ 's as linear combinations of the  $u_i$ 's.

This one is even easier:  $v_j = (t+1)^{j-1} = \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} {j-1 \choose i} t^i = \sum_{i=1}^{j} {j-1 \choose i-1} u_i$  $\mathbf{SO}$ 

$$N_{i,j} = \begin{cases} \binom{j-1}{i-1} & \text{for } 1 \le i \le j \le n, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(c) From the description of M and N as basis-change matrices, we know that MN = NM = I. Forgetting for the moment what M and N mean, rewrite the assertions MN = NM = I as binomial coefficient identities, and prove them either algebraically or *bijectively.* 

The assertion MN = I can be rewritten as  $\sum_{j} M_{i,j}N_{j,k} = \delta_{i,k}$ , where  $\delta_{i,j}$  is 1 if i = j and 0 otherwise. That is,  $\sum_{j} (-1)^{j-i} {j-1 \choose i-1} {k-1 \choose j-1} = \delta(i,k)$  where the sum is over all j such that  $i \leq j \leq k$ . For convenience, we shift indices and write this as

$$\sum (-1)^{j-i} \binom{j}{i} \binom{k}{j} = \delta(i,k)$$

where the sum is still over all j such that  $i \leq j \leq k$ . Algebraic proof: The sum in question is the coefficient of  $x^{k-i}$  in the product of  $\binom{i}{i} - \binom{i+1}{i}x + \binom{i+2}{i}x^2 - \ldots + (-1)^{k-i}\binom{k}{i}x^{k-i} + \ldots$  and  $\binom{k}{k} + \binom{k}{k-1}x + \binom{k}{k-2}x^2 + \ldots + \binom{k}{i}x^{k-i} + \ldots + \binom{k}{0}x^k$ . The first factor can be recognized as  $(1+x)^{-(i+1)}$  (by the binomial theorem) and the latter can be recognized as  $(1+x)^{k}$ . So the product is  $(1+x)^{k-i-1}$ . The coefficient of  $x^{k-i}$  in the formal power series expansion of  $(1+x)^{k-i-1}$  is 0 as long as k-i-1 is non-negative, since in that case  $(1+x)^{k-i-1}$  is just a polynomial of degree less than k-i. However, when i=k,  $(1+x)^{k-i-1}$  becomes the formal power series  $(1+x)^{-1} = 1-x+x^2-x^3+\ldots$ , in which the coefficient of  $x^{k-i}$  is just the constant term 1.

Combinatorial proof: Given a set C of size k,  $\sum (-1)^{j-i} {j \choose i} {k \choose j}$ counts the number of ways to choose a subset  $B \subset C$  of size j and a subset  $A \subset B$  of size i, where a choice of A, B, C counts as positive or negative according to whether the number of elements of B that are not in C is even or odd. If we hold the subset A fixed and do a signed enumeration of the sets B satisfying  $A \subset B \subset C$ , we find that the signed count is 1 if A = C and 0 otherwise. (Reason: This is just like signed enumeration of the subsets of  $C \setminus A$ , where a set counts as positive or negative according to whether it has an even or odd number of elements.) If i = k, there is exactly one set A, namely C itself, whose aggregate contribution is non-zero, and in this case the aggregate contribution is 1; whereas if i < k, all the aggregate contributions vanish. This proves the identity. The assertion NM = I can be rewritten as  $\sum_j N_{i,j}M_{j,k} = \delta_{i,k}$ , that is,  $\binom{j-1}{i-1}(-1)^{k-j}\binom{k-1}{j-1} = \delta_{i,j}$ . Re-indexing, we write  $(-1)^{k-j}\binom{j}{i}\binom{k}{j} = \delta_{i,j}$ . The proofs are similar to what appeared above.